Ocriplasmina for pharmacologic treatment in VMT

Teresio Avitabile

Introduction

- PVD is a normal, physiologic process that occurs with aging; however, in some cases, PVD is incomplete
- Incomplete PVD localized at the macula is known as VMA and this may result in traction at the site of adherence (known as VMT)
- Clinical manifestations of VMT include visual disturbances and decreased VA
- Untreated VMT can lead to MH, a condition that impacts severely on visual function and, consequently, patient quality of life

Incomplete Posterior Vitreous Detachment

- There should be sufficient weakening at the vitreoretinal interface when the critical level of liquefaction has been achieved¹
 - If not, incomplete PVD can arise

• VMA:

- Areas of adhesion between the posterior hyaloid cortex and the fovea, due to incomplete PVD²
- May cause a range of sequelae, e.g.¹
 - \diamond VMT
 - ♦ MH
 - ♦ Retinal tear





MH, macular hole; PVD, posterior vitreous detachment; VMA, vitreomacular adhesion; VMT, vitreomacular traction

1. Sebag J. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2004;242:690; 2. Dugel P. Retina Today April 2012;50;

Visual Symptoms Associated with Vitreomacular Traction

- Progressive vision loss,^{1,2}
 which can include:
- Metamorphopsia
 (distorted vision)^{1,2}
- Photopsia (appearance of flashes of light)²
- Micropsia (objects appear smaller than they are in reality)²
- Central visual field defect¹



Metamorphopsia

| Е | E | E | E |
|-----------|---|--|---|
| FP | FP | F P | |
| TOZ | TOZ | TOI | |
| LPED | LPED | LPED | |
| PECFD | PECFD | FECTO | |
| EDFCZP | EDFCEP | ***** | |
| FELOPDZ | FELOPOS | COLORED | |
| PEOROPTER | ********* | Concession of the local division of the loca | |
| | RATES OF A DESCRIPTION | and the second se | |
| | The second | | |

Decreased visual acuity

- 1. Dugel P. Retina Today April 2012;50
- 2. Hikichi T et al. Am J Ophthalmol 1995;119:55

Progression of Vitreomacular Traction to Macular Hole

Normal OCT





Normal vision

VMA causing VMT





Metamorphopsia

VMA causing macular hole





Central blindness

OCT, optical coherence tomography; VMA, vitreomacular adhesion; VMT, vitreomacular traction

Impact of Macular Hole on Visual Acuity

- Stages 1A–2 MH typically associated with a BCVA of 20/25 to 20/80
 - Stages 3–4 MH associated with BCVA of 20/100 to 20/400
- The prognosis of untreated FTMH is poor
 - Only 5% will have 20/50 BCVA or better
 - 55–58% will have BCVA of 20/100 or better
 - Approximately 40% will have BCVA of 20/200 or worse
 - If the FTMH closes (rare), BCVA can recover
 - Most patients retain a BCVA of 20/100 to 20/400

BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; FTMH, full-thickness macular hole; MH, macular hole

American Academy of Ophthalmology Retina Panel. Preferred Practice Pattern[®]. Idiopathic Macular Hole, 2008. <u>http://www.aao.org/ppp</u> (accessed November 2012)

Epidemiology of Vitreomacular Adhesion, Vitreomacular Traction, and Macular Hole

- Epidemiologic data on VMA, MH, and FTMH are limited
- The introduction of OCT in the 1990s facilitated the diagnosis of incomplete VMA and its related complications¹
- 50–84% of Stage 2 MH progress to Stages 3 or 4^{2–5}
- Spontaneous FTMH closure occurs in only 3–12% of cases⁶



FTMH, full-thickness macular hole; MH, macular hole; OCT, optical coherence tomography; PVD, posterior vitreous detachment; VMA, vitreomacular adhesion; VMT, vitreomacular traction

1. Carpineto P *et al. Eur Ophthalmic Rev* 2011;5:69; 2. Guyer DR *et al. Arch Ophthalmol* 1992;110:1264; 3. Hikichi T *et al. Br J Ophthalmol* 1995;79:517; 4. Kim JW *et al. Ophthalmology* 1995;102:1818; 5. Kim JW *et al. Am J Ophthalmol* 1996;121:605; 6. American Academy of Ophthalmology Retina Panel. Preferred Practice Pattern[®]. Idiopathic Macular Hole, 2008. <u>http://www.aao.org/ppp</u> (accessed November 2012) The International Vitreomacular Traction Study Group Classification of Vitreomacular Adhesion, Traction, and Macular Hole

The IVTS Classification System in a Nutshell

| Classification | Sub-classification | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Vitreomacular adhesion (VMA) | Focal (≤1500 µm) or broad (>1500 µm) Isolated or concurrent with other diseases No structural abnormalities in the retina | | |
| Vitreomacular traction (VMT) | Focal (≤1500 µm) or broad (>1500 µm) Isolated or concurrent with other diseases Structural abnormalities in the retina | | |
| Full-thickness macular hole (FTMH) | Small (≤250 µm), medium (>250 µm and ≤400 µm), or large (>400 µm) With or without VMT Primary or secondary to other conditions | | |





IVTS Definition and Classification of VMA

- VMA represents a specific stage of vitreous separation
 - Partial detachment of the vitreous in the perifoveal area
 - No retinal abnormalities



Focal VMA ≽

- The white arrows mark the sites of vitreous attachment
- The area of attachment is ≤1500 µm, with no detectable change in foveal contour of underlying retinal tissues



Broad VMA

- The white arrows mark the sites of vitreous attachment
- The area of attachment is >1500 µm, with no detectable change in foveal contour of underlying retinal tissues



IVTS Definition and Classification of VMA

- VMA represents a specific stage of vitreous separation
 - Partial detachment of the vitreous in the perifoveal area
 - No retinal abnormalities



Focal VMA ≽

- The white arrows mark the sites of vitreous attachment
- The area of attachment is ≤1500 µm, with no detectable change in foveal contour of underlying retinal tissues

Broad VMA





- The white arrows mark the sites of vitreous attachment
- The area of attachment is >1500 µm, with no detectable change in foveal contour of underlying retinal tissues



Full-Thickness Macular Hole – Introduction and Definition

- Anatomic defect in the fovea
 - Interruption of all neural retinal layers from the ILM to the RPE
- Clinically evaluated based on the Gass classification
 - Requires careful clinical examination
 - Divides macular holes into 4 stages
- OCT-based anatomic data helped to improve understanding of the pathogenesis and progression of macular hole



FTMH with aperture size of 192 µm without VMT

- Width-based definition
- The aperture size is measured at the narrowest hole width in the mid retina, parallel to the RPE







IVTS Definition and Classification of FTMH



Small FTMH without VMT

- The foveal lesion interrupts all macular layers from the ILM to the RPE and has a narrowest linear width of ≤250 µm
- The vitreous is not attached to the macula or the edge of the hole

Medium FTMH without VMT

- The hole has a narrowest linear width of between 250 μm and 400 μm



Large FTMH with VMT

- The narrowest linear width of the hole is >400 μm
- The vitreous is attached to the edge of the hole





The IVTS Classification System for Vitreomacular Adhesion, Traction, and Macular Hole

Summary Tables and Conclusions





A Comprehensive Overview of the IVTS Classification System



LA VITRECTOMIA A FRONTE DI UN MIGLIORAMENTO MORFOLOGICO NON FORNISCE UN MIGLIORE RISULTATO FUNZIONALE¹⁻³

Early Postoperative Retinal Thickness Changes and Complications After Vitrectomy for Diabetic Macular Edema

examinations and surgical methods are required. (Am J Ophthalmol 2003;135:14–19. © 2003 by Elsevier Science Inc. All rights reserved.)

TEIKO YAMAMOTO, MD, KOICHIRO HITANI, MD, ITSURO TSUKAHARA, MD, SHUICHI YAMAMOTO, MD, RYO KAWASAKI, MD, HIDETOSHI YAMASHITA, MD, AND SHINOBU TAKEUCHI, MD

COMPLICANZE INTRA E POST-OPERATORIE

- Rotture retiniche(4.6%)
- DR regmatogeno (1.5%)
- Glaucoma neovascolare (5%)
- *Emovitreo* (1.5%)
- Essudati maculari(4.6%)
- Membrane epiretiniche (13.8%)
- Fori lamellari (1.5%)
- Fori maculari (1.5 %)





1.Kumar et al. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2007 2.La Heij et al. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2001 3.Patel et al. Eye (Lond).2006

Vitreolytic Agents

Vitreolytic agents under development^{1,2}

| Agent | Classification | Mechanism of action | Status |
|--|----------------------------------|---|---|
| Chondroitinase | Liquefactant and interfactant | Depolymerization of glycosaminoglycans including chondroitin sulfate | No evidence of further development |
| Bacterial collagenase (clostridiopeptidase A) | Liquefactant | Cleavage of type II collagen | No evidence of further development |
| Dispase | Interfactant | Cleavage of type IV collagen and fibronectin | No evidence of further development |
| Hyaluronidase | Liquefactant | Cleavage of large hyaluronan molecules and other glycosaminoglycans | Despite good liquefactive capacity, evidence suggests it may worsen VMA-related pathologies. No evidence of further development |

Interfactant: ability to weaken vitreoretinal adhesion

1. Schneider EW, Johnson MW. Clin Ophthalmol. 2011;5:1151.

2. Girach A, Pakola S. Expert Rev Ophthalmol. 2012;7:311.

Vitreolytic Agents

Vitreolytic agents under development¹⁻⁴

| Agent | Classification | Mechanism of action | Status |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|
| Nattokinase | Liquefactant and interfactant | Fibrinolytic effects by enhancing plasminogen activators and inactivating plasmin activator inhibitors | No evidence of further development |
| Endogenous plasmin | Liquefactant and interfactant | Mediates fibrinolysis by targeting vitreoretinal interface glycoproteins, including laminin and fibronectin | Independent studies with autologous plasmin enzyme are ongoing |
| Plasminogen activator | Liquefactant and interfactant | Indirect activation of plasmin | Therapeutic potential limited by need for adequate concentrations of intraocular plasminogen substrate |
| Ocriplasmin | Liquefactant and interfactant | Cleavage of laminin, fibronectin, and collagen at the vitreoretinal interface | Approved for treatment of symptomatic VMA in the US and positive CHMP opinion received for VMT including when associated with MH of diameter ≤400 µm in the EU |

1. Schneider EW, Johnson MW. Clin Ophthalmol 2011;5:1151.

2. Girach A, Pakola S. Expert Rev Ophthalmol 2012;7:311.

3. ThromboGenics, Inc. Jetrea (ocriplasmin) Prescribing Information 2012.

4. ThromboGenics NV. Jetrea(ocriplasmin) Summary of product characteristics 2013.

Ocriplasmin

- Ocriplasmin (previously known as microplasmin) is a recombinant, truncated form of the enzyme plasmin that retains the catalytic activity^{1,2}
- Ocriplasmin targets components of the extracellular matrix implicated in VMA, to resolve VMA and release VMT³⁻⁵
 - Collagen; laminin; fibronectin



Plasmin (88 kDa)

- 1. Nagai N et al. J Thromb Haemost 2003;1:307
- 2. de Smet M et al. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2009;50:814
- 3. Li X et al. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2002;240:56
- 4. Liotta LA et al. Cancer Res 1981;41:4629
- 5. Uemura A et al. Arch Ophthalmol 2005;123:209

Ocriplasmin (27.2 kDa)

Key Differences: Autologous Plasmin versus Ocriplasmin

Autologous plasmin

- Human protease isolated from serum¹
- Molecular weight: 88 kDa²
- Harvesting is a time-intensive and expensive process³

Ocriplasmin

- Recombinant, truncated form of plasmin⁴
- Molecular weight: 27.2 kDa⁵
- Can be developed on an industrial scale

- 1. Gandorfer A. In Pharmacology and Vitreoretinal Surgery 2009;44:26
- 2. Chen W et al. Eye (London) 2008;22:300
- 3. Schneider E & Johnson M. Clin Ophthalmol 2011;5:1151
- 4. Nagai N et al. J Thromb Haemost 2003;1:307
- 5. de Smet et al. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2012;53:8208

Proteolytic Action of Ocriplasmin

 Ocriplasmin retains the enzymatic activity of plasmin to produce a dual effect of vitreous liquefaction and vitreoretinal separation^{1–4}



- 1. Gandorfer A et al. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2004;45:641
- 2. Sebag J. Retina Today April 2012:55
- 3. de Smet MD et al. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2009;50:814
- 4. de Smet MD et al. Ophthalmology 2009;116:1349

Ocriplasmin







- Pre-clinical data shows that ocriplasmin^{1,2}
 - Targets fibronectin, laminin and collagen
 - Induces vitreous liquefaction <u>and</u> separation of the vitreous at the vitreoretinal interface
 - Cleanly separates vitreous from ILM

ILM: inner limiting membrane. <u>1. Gandorfer et al. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2004;45:641–647.</u> 2. In vitro experiments.

MIVI 6/7

Enzymatic Vitreolysis with Ocriplasmin for Vitreomacular Traction and Macular Holes

Study Design



Stalmans P et al. N Engl J Med 2012;367:606

Key Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria
≥18 years of age
Focal VMA on OCT >
BCVA ≤20/25 in the study eye
BCVA ≥20/800 in the non-study eye

Key exclusion criteria

- Concurrent ocular conditions
- Prior vitrectomy
- Prior laser photocoagulation
- •Treatment with ocular surgery, intravitreal injection, or retinal laser photocoagulation in the past 3 months



OCT, optical coherence tomography

Treatment

- Ocriplasmin group
 - Intravitreal injection of ocriplasmin (125 µg in a 0.10-mL volume)



Placebo group

- Intravitreal injection of 0.10 mL of the identical drug vehicle diluted with saline

Vitrectomy

- Investigators could recommend vitrectomy at any time if:
 - ♦ The underlying condition deteriorated
 - \diamond BCVA in the study eye worsened by >2 lines, or
 - ♦ The underlying condition had not improved within 4 weeks after the injection



Study Endpoints

Primary efficacy endpoint

Non-surgical resolution of VMA at Day 28

Key secondary efficacy endpoints

Total PVD at Day 28 (main secondary endpoint)

- Non-surgical MH closure
- Avoidance of vitrectomy
- Improvement of ≥3 lines in BCVA without vitrectomy
- •BCVA change from baseline at Month 6

•VFQ-25 change from baseline at Month 6









Primary Endpoint

- The proportion of patients with non-surgical resolution of VMA on OCT at Day 28 was significantly higher with ocriplasmin vs placebo in each individual study (*p*=0.03 in Study 006 and *p*<0.001 in Study 007)
- For the combined studies, the odds ratio for intervention was 3.28 on the primary endpoint (95% CI 1.93, 5.84; p<0.001)
- Overall, 26.5% of patients in the ocriplasmin group reached the primary endpoint (resolution of VMA) compared with 10.1% of patients in the placebo group
- The magnitude of the effect of ocriplasmin on the primary endpoint varied according to lens status



Primary Endpoint Outcome: Resolution of Vitreomacular Adhesion at Day 28



Resolution of VMA at Day 28 (combined analysis)

Stalmans P, Benz M, Gandorfer A et al. N Engl J Med 2012;367:606

Non-surgical Resolution of Vitreomacular Adhesion with **Ocriplasmin Versus Placebo to Day 180**



Stalmans P et al. N Engl J Med 2012;367:606

Resolution of Vitreomacular Adhesion Categorized by Vitreomacular Adhesion Diameter

Resolution of VMA at Day 28 categorized by VMA size at baseline

MIVI 6/7



VMA, vitreomacular adhesion

Primary Endpoint According to Lens Status

- Resolution of VMA among phakic eyes:
 - 34.2% in the ocriplasmin group versus 12.6% in the placebo group
 - Odds ratio 3.75; 95% CI 2.09, 7.07; *p*<0.001
- Resolution of VMA among eyes with pseudophakia:
 - 13.4% in the ocriplasmin group versus 3.8% in the placebo group
 - Odds ratio 3.96; 95% CI 0.92, 35.89; *p*=0.051



Subgroup Analysis of Non-surgical Resolution of VMA According to Presence of Epiretinal Membrane



Proportion of Patients with Total PVD at Day 28



>

*Fisher's exact test; [†]Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel test, stratified by study



Stalmans P et al. N Engl J Med 2012;367:606

Closure of Macular Hole at Day 28



*Fisher's exact test; [†]Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel test, stratified by study

p-values were not adjusted for multiplicity Stalmans P *et al. N Engl J Med* 2012;367:606



Pharmacologic Closure of FTMH at Day 28 by FTMH Width at Baseline*



Patients with FTMH ≤250 µm More Likely to Achieve Hole Closure at Day 28



A Case of Macular Hole Closure After Intravitreal Ocriplasmin Injection, shown by Spectral Domain OCT

Baseline retina with MH before injection



MH closure at Day 7 post injection (BCVA improvement +10 letters)



MH remains closed at Month 6 post injection (BCVA improvement +21 letters)





Stalmans P et al. N Engl J Med 2012;367:606 (supplementary material)

Patients with Baseline BCVA of <20/50, 20/50-20/32, and >20/32 Achieving a \geq 3-line Visual Acuity Gain



p-values were not adjusted for multiplicity

Stalmans P et al. N Engl J Med 2012;367:606 (supplementary material)



Ocriplasmin Safety Profile

Ocular AEs and serious AEs

| | Placebo (n=187) | Ocriplasmin (n=465) | <i>p</i> -value |
|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Any ocular AE, n (%) | 100 (53.5) | 318 (68.4) | <0.001 |
| Any ocular serious AE, n (%) | 20 (10.7) | 36 (7.7) | 0.26 |

- The difference in the proportion of patients experiencing AEs between the ocriplasmin and placebo groups was driven primarily by those AEs known to be associated with vitreous detachment¹
- The majority of AEs were transient and mild in severity¹

AE, adverse event

1. Stalmans P et al. N Engl J Med 2012;367:606

Ocular Adverse Events

| Event, n (%) | Placebo (n=187) | Ocriplasmin (n=465) | <i>p</i> -value* |
|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Any ocular AE, n (%) | 100 (53.5) | 318 (68.4) | <0.001 |
| Vitreous floaters | 14 (7.5) | 78 (16.8) | 0.002 |
| Photopsia | 5 (2.7) | 55 (11.8) | <0.001 |
| Conjunctival hemorrhage | 24 (12.8) | 68 (14.6) | 0.53 |
| Injection-related eye pain | 11 (5.9) | 63 (13.5) | 0.005 |
| Blurred vision | 6 (3.2) | 40 (8.6) | 0.01 |
| Visual impairment | 3 (1.6) | 25 (5.4) | 0.02 |
| Increased IOP | 10 (5.3) | 18 (3.9) | 0.50 |
| Retinal tear | 5 (2.7) | 6 (1.3) | 0.25 |
| Cataract | 17 (9.1) | 26 (5.6) | 0.13 |

*p-values were calculated with the use of the Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel test, stratified according to study

p-values were not adjusted for multiplicity Stalmans P *et al. N Engl J Med* 2012;367:606



Rates of Ocular Serious Adverse Events

| Event, n (%) | Placebo (n=187) | Ocriplasmin (n=465) | p-value* |
|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------|
| Any serious AE | 20 (10.7) | 36 (7.7) | 0.26 |
| Macular hole | 16 (8.6) | 24 (5.2) | 0.15 |
| Retinal detachment | 3 (1.6) | 2 (0.4) | 0.16 |
| Reduced VA | 1 (0.5) | 3 (0.6) | 0.94 |

*p-values were calculated with the use of the Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel test, stratified according to study

p-values were not adjusted for multiplicity Stalmans P *et al. N Engl J Med* 2012;367:606





Discussion: Placebo

- Vitreous manipulation effected through intravitreal injection may occasionally result in a PVD, in which case, the placebo injection of 0.1 mL may have induced some treatment response
- The superior therapeutic effects of the ocriplasmin injection would then be indicative of an additional biologic effect of enzymatic vitreolysis over placebo



Conclusions

- In conclusion, this study shows that enzymatic vitreolysis represents a means to resolve VMT and to close MH
- Intravitreal injection of ocriplasmin was superior to injection of placebo in altering the vitreoretinal interface of affected eyes, although it was accompanied by some, mainly transient, ocular adverse events